THE COMMON THREAD

© BREPOLS 🕸 PUBLISHERS

NEW APPROACHES IN ARCHAEOLOGY

VOLUME 3

General Editor Paul S. Johnson, *University of Nottingham*

Editorial Board Marianne Hem Eriksen, University of Leicester Lara Fabian, University of California, Los Angeles Linda Gosner, Texas Tech University Christopher Loveluck, University of Nottingham Cheryl Makarawicz, University of Kiel Dimitrij Mlekuž, University of Ljubljana

The Common Thread

Collected Essays in Honour of Eva Andersson Strand

Edited by Ulla Mannering, Marie-Louise Nosch, and Anne Drewsen



© BREPOLS 🕾 PUBLISHERS

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

This article/publication is based upon work from COST Action Euroweb CA 19131, supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology).

COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology) is a funding agency for research and innovation networks. Our Actions help connect research initiatives across Europe and enable scientists to grow their ideas by sharing them with their peers. This boosts their research, career and Innovation.

www.cost.eu



© 2024, Brepols Publishers n.v., Turnhout, Belgium.

This is an open access publication made available under a CC BY-NC 4.0 International Licence: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc/4.0/. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, for commercial purposes, without the prior permission of the publisher, or as expressly permitted by law, by licence or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics rights organization.

> D/2024/0095/234 ISBN 978-2-503-61277-5 E-ISBN 978-2-503-61278-2 DOI 10.1484/M.NAA-EB.5.138139 Printed in the EU on acid-free paper.

Previously published books are listed at the end of the book

Table of Contents

Pr	eface	1
1.	In the Beginning Anne Drewsen, Mary Harlow, Ulla Mannering, and Marie-Louise Nosch	3
	I. Textiles and Tools	
2.	Ragpickers Critiquing the Third Science Revolution with Walter Benjamin Tim FLOHR SØRENSEN	11
3.	Textile Analysis in Europe <i>Current Practices and Future Prognosis</i> Margarita GLEBA, Susanna HARRIS, and Jane MALCOLM-DAVIES	25
4.	From Fleece to Thread Interdisciplinary Evidence for the Origins of Sheep Wool Laura C. VIÑAS-CARON, Mikkel NØRTOFT, Peder FLEMESTAD, Jonas HOLM JÆGER, and Christina MARGARITI	33
5.	An Arctic Thread Mikkel Sørensen	61
6.	The Beginnings of Clothing Experiments in Near Eastern Archaeology Catherine BRENIQUET and Cécile MICHEL	71
7•	Fløjstrup — A Viking Age Grave with Early Silk Charlotte Rimstad, Irene Skals, and Ina Vanden Berghe	79
8.	The Importance of Understanding Textile Tools Francesco Meo	95
9.	Making Visible the Invisible The Case Study of Clay Sealings from Arslantepe (Turkey) Romina LAURITO	107

II.	
Craft and Craft Traditions	

10.	Textile Workshops in the Nile Valley? <i>Questioning the Concepts and Sources</i>	117
	Chiara Spinazzi-Lucchesi and Elsa Yvanez	
11.	Sprang Hairnets from Prehistoric Denmark and Byzantine Egypt <i>Experimental Research</i>	133
	Anne Kwaspen, Ida Demant, and Johanne Høgh	
12.	Spinning Fates and the Fate of Spinning <i>Towards a Nordic Textile Technical Terminology</i>	147
	Morten GRYMER-HANSEN and Susanne LERVAD	
13.	Teaching and Disseminating Textile Archaeology in University and Museum Contexts Lise Bender Jørgensen and Karina Grömer	157
	Lise Dender Jordensen and Ramina OROMER	
14.	Weaving Pictures	171
	Evoking a World from Threads Ulrikka MOKDAD	
15.	Beyond Traditions	181
	Rethinking Textile Crafts and Heritage Magali-An Berthon	
	III.	
	Identity and Status	
16.	Stitch and Status	191
	An Analysis of the Expression of Worldviews through Knitted Garments	-
	Maj Ringgaard and Tove Engelhardt Mathiassen	
17.	The Combat Agate and the Tartan-Like Textiles of the Aegean	201
	Kalliope Sarri	
18.	Textiles in Etruscan Dance	209
	The Case of the Tomba del Triclinio in Tarquinia	
	Audrey Gouy	
19.	Reading the Roman Toga from Sculpture	225
	Mary Harlow and Lena Larsson Lovén	
20.	Two Donkey Burials	231
20.	Ulla Lund Hansen and Anne Drewsen	231
	Furthelistical Charling to the Manufacture of the Theory of the transformation of the tr	
21.	Embellished Clothing in the Mesolithic Based on Finds from Two Cemeteries in Sweden	239

22.	Sequins and Other 'Bling' in Viking Age Fashion	247
	Charlotte Hedenstierna-Jonson, Marianne Vedeler, and Amica Sundström †	

23.	One Silk Textile — Multiple Histories and Her-Stories	255
	Ulla MANNERING and Marie-Louise NOSCH	

© BREPOLS ֎ PUBLISHERS

Lars Larsson

List of Illustrations

1. In the Beg	inning — Anne Drewsen, Mary Harlow, Ulla Mannering, and Marie-Louise Nosch	
Figure 1.1.	The auroch bone from Ryemarksgård near Jyderup on West Zealand, Denmark, with an	
-	incised depiction of five human figures. It has been argued that the figures represent pregnant	
	women, but they are without doubt dressed. The bone is ¹⁴ C-dated to <i>c</i> . 8000 BCE.	4
Figure 1.2.	This piled cap from Trindhøj in Southern Jutland, Denmark was excavated in 1861. It measures	
	15 cm in height and 56 cm in circumference. The grave is dated by dendrochronology to	
	1347 BCE. We could call this the world's first 'fake fur'.	5
Figure 1.3.	Eve spinning with a distaff, with two children in her lap and two in a cradle, which she rocks	
	with her feet. Fresco, c. 1350, from the church at Kirkerup near Roskilde, Denmark.	5
2. Ragpicker	rs — Tim Flohr Sørensen	
Figure 2.1.	Flax carbonised, pachypasa otus, silk saturnia pyri, goat untreated.	12
Figure 2.2.	Wool.	19
Figure 2.3.	Cotton.	20
3. Textile An	alysis in Europe — Margarita Gleba, Susanna Harris, and Jane Malcolm-Davies	
Figure 3.1.	A scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of a spliced thread from Must Farm, UK.	27
Figure 3.2.	Digital microscopy is used to analyse textile and yarn structures.	29
4. From Flee and Christin	ece to Thread — Laura C. Viñas-Caron, Mikkel Nørtoft, Peder Flemestad, Jonas Holm Jæger, a Margariti	
Figure 4.1.	Overview of confirmed finds of ovine wool in Western Eurasia, coloured by chronology.	35
Figure 4.2.	Scheme showing the two different PIE verbs meaning 'to pluck' and the two different derived words denoting 'fleece/wool' and language branches where they are attested, following the	
	'steppe' model.	38
Figure 4.3.	Ancient mtDNA haplogroups A, B, C, D, and E reported in archaeological sites according to	
m 11	years (BCE/CE).	41
Table 4.1.	All confirmed sheep-wool finds with a mean date earlier than 1800 BCE.	36
	Thread — Mikkel Sørensen	
Figure 5.1.	The understanding of artefacts as parts of <i>chaînes opératoires</i> , and the connection between a social group and the artefacts and their knowledge concept for the craft production.	62
Figure 5.2.	A model for analysing an artefact material in a Technological study. The goal of the model is	
-	to reach an anthropological level through a <i>chaîne opératoire</i> analysis of an artefact material	
	and an interpretation of the production concept. Environmental constraints and individual	
	factors are included in the model.	63
Figure 5.3.	Illustration and textile analysis of cordage from the Middle Dorset site Avayalik in Northern	
C	Labrador, Canada.	65
Table 5.1.	An analysis and comparison of the textile technology from Dorset and Norse contexts based on published studies of Arctic textile related to the discussion of the Dorset Culture. The	
	underlinings represent the majority finds.	66

6. The Beginnings of Clothing Experiments in Near Eastern Archaeology — *Catherine Breniquet and Cécile Michel*

Cécile Miche		
Figure 6.1.	Men: Gudea's dress. A) The way the toga was draped on the body. B) Gudea, statue B said 'L'architecte au plan' (Louvre AO 2), Telloh, <i>c</i> . 2120–2110 BCE, h: 0.93 cm. C) Wax model used for the Exposi <i>ti</i> on UniverseLLE of 1889. 'Histoire du Travail et des Sciences Anthropologiques. Section I, Anthropologie, ethnographie, archéologie'. D). The live model in 1926.	74
Figure 6.2.	Women: the 'Femme à l'écharpe' dress. A) The 'Femme à l'écharpe' (Louvre AO 295), Telloh, c. 2120–2110 BCE, h: 17.8 cm. B) The first live model, as seen from face and back. C) The second	, ,
	live model.	75
7. Fløjstrup	p— A Viking Age Grave with Early Silk — Charlotte Rimstad, Irene Skals, and	
Ina Vanden I		
Figure 7.1.	Textile type 1, an unbalanced tabby sitting on a human bone (C9266a), overview and detail. Copenhagen, The National Museum of Denmark. Ninth century.	82
Figure 7.2.	Textile type 2, a combination of twill and tabby (C9266b), overview and detail Copenhagen, The National Museum of Denmark. Ninth century.	82
Figure 7.3.	The pear-shaped brooch (C9260), made of a reused key, front and back. Details of the tabby/ twill textile (textile type 2) (lower left) and the tabby textile (textile type 3) stuck to the needle (lower right). Copenhagen, The National Museum of Denmark. Ninth century.	20
Figure 7.4.	Fragments of textile 3, a flax tabby (C9266c), overview and detail. Copenhagen, The National Museum of Denmark. Ninth century.	83 83
Figure 7.5.	One of the oval brooches (C9261), outside and inside with textile remains. a) Tabby textile on the rim of the oval brooch (textile type 3). b) Unbalanced tabby textile inside of the brooch (textile 1?). c) Remains of a strap in tabby (textile type 4). d) A small cord sitting around the	- 5
Figure 7.6.	needle (textile type 6). Copenhagen, The National Museum of Denmark. Ninth century. The other oval brooch (C9262), outside and inside with textile remains. Detail of the tabby textile on the needle (textile 3). Copenhagen, The National Museum of Denmark. Ninth	84
Figure 7.7.	century. A small fragment of samite silk, o.8. mm wide (textile type 5), overview and detail. Copenhagen, The National Museum of Denmark. Ninth contury.	85
Figure 7.8.	The National Museum of Denmark. Ninth century. Another fragment of samite silk (Ad C9266b), sitting on textile 2. Copenhagen, The National Museum of Denmark. Ninth century.	85 86
Figure 7.9.	The cumulative frequency curves representing the warp and weft from textile type 1, C9266a, are very similar and indicative of similar wool and processing. The equivalent curves representing textile type 2 (C9266b), have steeper curves indicative of differences in the processing, and	80
Figure 7.10.	they are separated by a small gap, which could suggest difference in warp and weft. A schematic interpretation of how the six different textiles could be connected in the Fløjstrup	86
	grave.	89
Table 7.1.	Overview of the textile remains in the Fløjstrup grave.	81
Table 7.2.	The results from textile type 1, C9266a, are characterized by large fibre content in both the fine and medium ranges, while textile type 2, C9266b, has a larger majority of fibres in the fine range and a smaller content of medium fibres. All four yarns have small contents of coarse	
	fibres.	87
Table 7.3.	Overview of dye samples from the Fløjstrup grave.	88
8. The Impo	rtance of Understanding Textile <i>Tools — Francesco Meo</i>	
Figure 8.1.	South Italy with the two sites analysed in the article.	96
Figure 8.2.	Groups of textile tools from three tombs of Incoronata-San Teodoro necropolis.	98

0		
Figure 8.2.	Groups of textile tools from three tombs of Incoronata-San Teodoro necropolis.	98
Figure 8.3.	Typical assemblage of textile tools discovered in Canale Ianchina tombs: some spools and	
-	spindle whorls, sometimes with one or a number of heavy and big decorated weights.	99
Figure 8.4.	Canale Ianchina, Tomb 33. Possible set of spools and histograms of fabric qualities from the	
-	set both with two and four rows of weights in a warp-weighted loom.	100
Figure 8.5.	Canale Ianchina, Tomb 108. Possible set of spools and histograms of fabric qualities from the	
-	set both with two and four rows of weights in a warp-weighted loom.	101

© BREPOLS № PUBLISHERS

o. Making Visible the Invisible — Romina Laurito

9. Making v	Isible the invisible — Romina Laurito	
Figure 9.1.	The technical data sheet of the Paraloid B72 and the main steps followed by restorers at Arslantepe.	109
Figure 9.2.	a) Clay sealing c2837 from Arslantepe. b) Replica in coloured gypsum of the same clay sealing. Note the string and the textile on the underside and a finger imprint above the seal impression	
r .	on the front side.	110
Figure 9.3.	a–b). Imprints of folded cloth and twisted strings from two clay sealings obtained with the liquid silicone Provil. c). Morphology of seal incisions observed on seal impressions from Arslantepe VI A.	111
10. Textile V	Vorkshops in the Nile Valley? — Chiara Spinazzi-Lucchesi and Elsa Yvanez	
Figure 10.1.	Different organizational modes of textile production, based on Viking and medieval evidence.	118
Figure 10.2.	Map of major sites mentioned in the text.	119
Figure 10.3.	Reconstruction of a textile production scene, from the 18th dynasty tomb of Djehutynefer (TT_{104}) .	120
Figure 10.4.	Wooden spindles from Gurob: 1) Manchester Museum 523, 2) Manchester Museum 526, 3)	
e e	Petrie Museum UC 7809.	121
Figure 10.5.	Textiles from Deir el-Medina: details from one of the large sheets studied during the 2022 excavation, with faults and irregular weaving.	123
Figure 10.6.	Spinning and weaving tools from Shokan, F 1964/5.54, National Museum of Antiquities, Leiden.	124
Figure 10.7.	Textile tools from House 1200: a) clay loom weights in situ in the north-west corner of room 1244 and b) four spindle whorls found in diverse rooms among occupation and construction/ debris layers.	126
Figure 10.8.	Cotton textile from the royal cemetery of Meroe, with detail of tapestry patterns (photos on the right produced with Dinolite digital microscope, $\times 45$). Boston Museum of Fine Arts 23.812	. 127
	airnets from Prehistoric Denmark and Byzantine Egypt — Anne Kwaspen, Ida Demant,	
and Johanne		
Figure 11.1.	Diagram of the basic sprang structures interlinking and interlacing.	134
Figure 11.2.	A, top left) Purple hairnet FeG-1992-SW-39.1; Yellow hairnet FeG-1992-SW-39.5; Yellow hairnet FeG-1992-SW-39.11; Red hairnet FeG-1992-SW-39.19a. B, top right) Borum Eshøj	
r.	hairnet. C, bottom left) Bredmose hairnet. D, bottom right) Haraldskær hairnet.	135
Figure 11.3. Figure 11.4.	Diagram of the lacing of the drawstring in the sprang structure of the Egyptian hairnets. Top) Sculpture 66.25, The Metropolitan Museum of Art, The Cloisters Collection. Fitting of	136
D ,	reconstruction hairnet FeG-1992-SW-39.5 with gathered circle on top of head.	136
Figure 11.5.	Reconstruction of Bredmose hairnet.	138
Figure 11.6.	Reconstruction of hairnet FEG 1992-SW-39.1.	138
Figure 11.7.	Reconstruction Borum Eshøj hairnet.	139
Figure 11.8.	Reconstruction of Haraldskær hairnet.	139
Figure 11.9.	a-b: Fitting of the reconstruction of hairnet FEG 1992-SW-39.1 with gathered circle on top	
Ciana a seco	of the head.	140
Figure 11.10. Figure 11.11.	 a-b: Fitting of the reconstruction of Bredmose hairnet with gathered circle at the back of the head. a-b: Fitting of the reconstruction of Haraldskær hairnet with gathered circle on top of the head. c-d: Fitting of the reconstruction of Haraldskær hairnet with gathered circle at the 	140
	back of the head.	141

a-b: Fitting of the reconstruction of Borum Eshøj hairnet with gathered circle on top of the Figure 11.12. head. c-d: Fitting of the reconstruction of Borum Eshøj hairnet with gathered circle placed in the back, covering the hair bun. e-f: Fitting of the reconstruction of Borum Eshøj hairnet with gathered circle at the lower back of the head, the net covering the unbraided hair. 142

12. Spinning Fates and the Fate of Spinning — Morten Grymer-Hansen and Susanne Lervad

Figure 12.1.	First page of Agnes Geijer's letter to Margrethe Hald, 1938 in the National Museum of Denmark.	148
Figure 12.2.	Second page of Agnes Geijer's letter to Margrethe Hald, 1938 in the National Museum of	
	Denmark.	149

13. Teaching and Disseminating Textile Archaeology in University and Museum Contexts — *Lise Bender Jørgensen and Karina Grömer*

Figure 13.1.	Lise Bender Jørgensen demonstrates spinning to children at the National Museum of Denmark	
	1974.	159
Figure 13.2.	Students combing wool (left) and trying a spinning wheel (right).	160
Figure 13.3.	Natural History Museum Vienna, 'Changing Room 2.0' at Hall 13. Left: face of the boy to be	
-	morphed into the image of an Early Medieval warrior. Right: selection panel of costumes	
	available.	161
Figure 13.4.	Historical Fashion Show in London.	162
Figure 13.5.	Pinterest page with the pin 'Hallstatt tablet weaving'.	163
Figure 13.6.	Various teaching and dissemination strategies for archaeological textile research.	165

14. Weaving Pictures — Ulrikka Mokdad

Figure 14.1.	Tove Heyman 1979.	172
Figure 14.2.	Bars May Bind the Body but Swift Flies the Spirit, a tapestry in the making.	173
Figure 14.3.	K'o-ssy or kesi weaving.	174
Figure 14.4.	Museo Egizio tapestry, and detail of same from the tomb of architect Kha AN 8528.	174
Figure 14.5.	Atelje 61 weavers Zsuzsanna Tóth Vanger and Milica Kovač in action.	175
Figure 14.6.	(Left) Measurements of the brain's electrical voltage for Eva Andersson Strand while spinning.	
-	(Right) The woven version with changed colours.	177

15. Beyond Traditions — Magali-An Berthon

Figure 15.1.	Devata (celestial being) wearing lightweight patterned silk skirt on a bas-relief in Angkor Wat,	
-	Siem Reap, twelfth century.	183
Figure 15.2.	Photographer Léon Busy, Cambodia, Phnom Penh, Woman sitting in front of a stilt house,	
-	reference A70460X, Musée Albert Kahn, Boulogne-Billancourt, France, 1921.	184
Figure 15.3.	French colonial postcard of a photograph showing the silk spinning and weaving workshop	
-	at the School of Cambodian Arts in Phnom Penh, c. 1924.	185
Figure 15.4.	A silk <i>sampot hol</i> woven onto the loom at home, Samraong District, Takeo province.	186
Figure 15.5.	Weaver working on an indigo-dyed <i>pidan</i> at the Caring for Young Khmer weaving centre,	
-	Trapaing Krasaing, Takeo province.	186

16. Stitch and Status — Maj Ringgaard and Tove Engelhardt Mathiassen

) 88 8	
Figure 16.1.	A pair of sixteenth-century liturgical gloves, hand-knitted from red silk and silver strip wrapped	
	yellow silk in the patterns. Liturgical gloves were part of the pontifical insignia that the pope	
	gave permission to wear to bishops and cardinals.	193
Figure 16.2.	A silk knitted waistcoat from the mid-seventeenth century. The waistcoat is knitted with an	
C	all-over pattern of stars and grid in reverse loops. Rich embroidery on front, back, and sleeves	
	in silver and gilded metal thread and spangles.	194
Figure 16.3.	Hand knitted sweaters, most often in traditional Norwegian style, became the attire for skiing.	
	The sweaters were often worn with a light jacket, as a windbreaker, while skiing and alone for	
	after-ski.	195
Figure 16.4.	The photo at the front page of Hønsestrik 2 is taken at the wedding of Susanne Bergmann	
	and Gunnar Stein, 6 April 1974, at the town hall of Elsinore. Kirsten Hofstätter and her son	
	Thibaud are standing to the bride's right side. Hofstätter is wearing a hønsestrik waistcoat	
	with striped straps; her name knitted at the top and the female symbol in staggered rows.	196
17. The Com	bat Agate and the Tartan-Like Textiles of the Aegean — Kalliope Sarri	
Figure 17.1.	A, left) The Combat Agate. B, right) Detail of the Combat Agate.	202
Figure 17.2.	The Combat Agate, drawing.	203

Figure 17.3.	Faience depiction of a	sacred knot fi	rom Tomb IV in Mycenae.	204

18. Textiles in Etruscan Dance — Audrey Gouy

Figure 18.1.	Tomba del Triclinio, Tarquina, walls (above and below). Paintings removed in 1949. Now in	
	the Archaeological Museum in Tarquinia, Italy. Dated from 470 BCE.	211
Figure 18.2.	Relief from Chiusi, Italy. Palermo, National Museum (inv. No. 8435). Fifth century BCE.	214
Figure 18.3.	Carved funerary base from Chiusi, Italy. Copenhagen, Ny Carlsberg Glyptotek (inv. No. H	
	205). Fifth century BCE.	214
Figure 18.4.	Relief from Chiusi, Italy. Paris, Musée du Louvre (inv. No. 3602). Fifth century BCE.	214
Figure 18.5.	Carved funerary base from Chiusi, Italy. Florence, Archaeological Museum (inv. No. 93488).	
-	Fifth century BCE.	215
Figure 18.6.	Carved sarcophagus from Chiusi, Italy. London, British Museum (inv. No. D10). Fifth century BCE.	215
Figure 18.7.	Carved funerary base from Chiusi, Italy. Palermo, National Museum (inv. No. 8385). Fifth century BCE.	215
Figure 18.8.	Tomba del Gallo, located in the Monterozzi necropolis, Tarquinia, Italy. <i>c.</i> 400 BCE. Detail of left wall.	216
Figure 18.9.	Tomba delle Leonesse, located in the Monterozzi necropolis, Tarquinia, Italy. c. 520 BCE. Back	210
1 iguie 18.9.	wall.	217
Figure 18.10.	Carved funerary base from Chiusi, Italy. Baltimore, The Walters Art Museum (inv. No. 23.13).	21/
1 igure 10.10.	Fifth century BCE.	218
Figure 18.11.	Bronze <i>thymiaterion</i> , from Vulci. London, British Museum (inv. No. Br 598). Dated from the	-10
1.9010 10111	end of the sixth century BCE.	219
Table 18.1.	Typology of female dress in Etruscan representations of ecstatic dance.	212
Table 18.2.	Typology of male dress in Etruscan representations of ecstatic dance.	212
Table 18.3.	Differences in female dress according to liminal and post-liminal stages in Etruscan dance	
1	rituals.	217
10. Reading	the Roman Toga from Sculpture — Mary Harlow and Lena Larsson Lovén	
Figure 19.1.	<i>Togatus,</i> first century BCE. Ny Carlsberg Glyptotek, Copenhagen, inv.no. 1950.	226
Figure 19.2.	<i>Togatus</i> from the Augustan period (c . 31 BCE – 14 CE). Ny Carlsberg Glyptotek, Copenhagen,	
1.1841019121	inv no. 2596.	226
Figure 19.3.	Detail of <i>togatus</i> in Fig. 19.2, from the Augustan period (<i>c</i> . 31 BCE – 14 CE). Ny Carlsberg	
- igui e 19.9.	Glyptotek, Copenhagen, inv no. 2596.	227
Figure 19.4.	Detail of <i>togatus</i> in Fig. 19.1, first century BCE. Ny Carlsberg Glyptotek, Copenhagen, inv.	/
	no. 1950.	229
	<i>,,,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
20. Two Doi		
Figure 20.1.	nkey Burials — Ulla Lund Hansen and Anne Drewsen	
	hkey Burials — Ulla Lund Hansen and Anne Drewsen Donkeys and handler from the tomb relief of Seschemnofer, fifth dynasty, c. 2430 BCE, Giza	
		232
Figure 20.2.	Donkeys and handler from the tomb relief of Seschemnofer, fifth dynasty, c. 2430 BCE, Giza	232
Figure 20.2.	Donkeys and handler from the tomb relief of Seschemnofer, fifth dynasty, <i>c</i> . 2430 BCE, Giza (ÄM 1129, Neues Museum Berlin).	232
Figure 20.2.	Donkeys and handler from the tomb relief of Seschemnofer, fifth dynasty, c. 2430 BCE, Giza (ÄM 1129, Neues Museum Berlin). An overview of the excavation of the settlement Smedegård. The settlement was excavated in	232
Figure 20.2.	Donkeys and handler from the tomb relief of Seschemnofer, fifth dynasty, c. 2430 BCE, Giza (ÄM 1129, Neues Museum Berlin). An overview of the excavation of the settlement Smedegård. The settlement was excavated in 1993–1994 and divided into 6 phases (Phase 1 c. 350/300 BCE–150 BCE to Phase 6 c. CE 1–105/160 CE)	232
Figure 20.2.	Donkeys and handler from the tomb relief of Seschemnofer, fifth dynasty, c. 2430 BCE, Giza (ÄM 1129, Neues Museum Berlin). An overview of the excavation of the settlement Smedegård. The settlement was excavated in 1993–1994 and divided into 6 phases (Phase 1 c. 350/300 BCE–150 BCE to Phase 6 c. CE 1–105/160 CE) which falls into two main periods with a destruction phase late in the Pre-Roman Iron Age	
-	Donkeys and handler from the tomb relief of Seschemnofer, fifth dynasty, <i>c</i> . 2430 BCE, Giza ($\ddot{A}M$ 1129, Neues Museum Berlin). An overview of the excavation of the settlement Smedegård. The settlement was excavated in 1993–1994 and divided into 6 phases (Phase 1 <i>c</i> . 350/300 BCE–150 BCE to Phase 6 <i>c</i> . CE 1–105/160 CE) which falls into two main periods with a destruction phase late in the Pre-Roman Iron Age (<i>c</i> . 130/100 BCE–50 CE). Farms and houses in the early part of the village are small compared to the later ones.	232 233
Figure 20.2. Figure 20.3.	Donkeys and handler from the tomb relief of Seschemnofer, fifth dynasty, c. 2430 BCE, Giza (AM 1129, Neues Museum Berlin). An overview of the excavation of the settlement Smedegård. The settlement was excavated in 1993–1994 and divided into 6 phases (Phase 1 c. 350/300 BCE–150 BCE to Phase 6 c. CE 1–105/160 CE) which falls into two main periods with a destruction phase late in the Pre-Roman Iron Age (c. 130/100 BCE–50 CE). Farms and houses in the early part of the village are small compared	
-	Donkeys and handler from the tomb relief of Seschemnofer, fifth dynasty, <i>c</i> . 2430 BCE, Giza ($ÅM$ 1129, Neues Museum Berlin). An overview of the excavation of the settlement Smedegård. The settlement was excavated in 1993–1994 and divided into 6 phases (Phase 1 <i>c</i> . 350/300 BCE–150 BCE to Phase 6 <i>c</i> . CE 1–105/160 CE) which falls into two main periods with a destruction phase late in the Pre-Roman Iron Age (<i>c</i> . 130/100 BCE–50 CE). Farms and houses in the early part of the village are small compared to the later ones. From the Varus/Kalkriese battle in the south near the Elben River, to Smedegård in the north	233
Figure 20.3.	Donkeys and handler from the tomb relief of Seschemnofer, fifth dynasty, <i>c</i> . 2430 BCE, Giza ($\ddot{A}M$ 1129, Neues Museum Berlin). An overview of the excavation of the settlement Smedegård. The settlement was excavated in 1993–1994 and divided into 6 phases (Phase 1 <i>c</i> . 350/300 BCE–150 BCE to Phase 6 <i>c</i> . CE 1–105/160 CE) which falls into two main periods with a destruction phase late in the Pre-Roman Iron Age (<i>c</i> . 130/100 BCE–50 CE). Farms and houses in the early part of the village are small compared to the later ones. From the Varus/Kalkriese battle in the south near the Elben River, to Smedegård in the north of Jutland is journey of over 600 km, which at 20 km a day would take a month to complete	233
Figure 20.3.	Donkeys and handler from the tomb relief of Seschemnofer, fifth dynasty, c. 2430 BCE, Giza (ÄM 1129, Neues Museum Berlin). An overview of the excavation of the settlement Smedegård. The settlement was excavated in 1993–1994 and divided into 6 phases (Phase 1 c. 350/300 BCE–150 BCE to Phase 6 c. CE 1–105/160 CE) which falls into two main periods with a destruction phase late in the Pre-Roman Iron Age (c. 130/100 BCE–50 CE). Farms and houses in the early part of the village are small compared to the later ones. From the Varus/Kalkriese battle in the south near the Elben River, to Smedegård in the north of Jutland is journey of over 600 km, which at 20 km a day would take a month to complete Equid metatarsals from Smedegård. The right is ascribed to the domestic horse (E. caballus)	233 234
Figure 20.3. Figure 20.4.	Donkeys and handler from the tomb relief of Seschemnofer, fifth dynasty, c. 2430 BCE, Giza (ÄM 1129, Neues Museum Berlin). An overview of the excavation of the settlement Smedegård. The settlement was excavated in 1993–1994 and divided into 6 phases (Phase 1 c. 350/300 BCE–150 BCE to Phase 6 c. CE 1–105/160 CE) which falls into two main periods with a destruction phase late in the Pre-Roman Iron Age (c. 130/100 BCE–50 CE). Farms and houses in the early part of the village are small compared to the later ones. From the Varus/Kalkriese battle in the south near the Elben River, to Smedegård in the north of Jutland is journey of over 600 km, which at 20 km a day would take a month to complete Equid metatarsals from Smedegård. The right is ascribed to the domestic horse (E. caballus) whereas the left represents the first Danish subfossil find of the donkey.	233 234

21. Embellisl Larsson	hed Clothing in the Mesolithic Based on Finds from two Cemeteries in Sweden — <i>Lars</i>	
Figure 21.1.	Around a woman's hip in Grave VIII, Skateholm II. More than a hundred tooth beads were found from about thirty deer.	2.40
Figure 21.2.	Grave 6, Skateholm I, with a woman in a sitting position. On her hip, several tooth beads of wild boar were found. On top of these the skeleton of a new-born child or an almost full-term foetus was found. The baby may have lain in a richly decorated pouch.	240 241
Figure 21.3.	Grave XV, Skateholm II, with a man with red deer tooth beads across the skull.	241 241
Figure 21.4.	The accumulation of dental beads from red deer and wild boar and a non-perforated porpoise	241
	tooth lay next to the hand of a man in Grave 53, Skateholm I.	241
Figure 21.5.	A woman was provided with pendants of amber and red deer teeth that remained in the jaw section of a red deer in Grave 46. They may have sat like a necklace or at the top of the chest.	243
	and Other 'Bling' in Viking Age Fashion — Charlotte Hedenstierna-Jonson, Marianne	
	Amica Sundström	
Figure 22.1. Figure 22.2.	Plan of chamber burial Bj832 by Harald Olsson, based on the original field documentation. Textile fragment from Bj 832 with knotted border, embroidered with silver and inserted discs	248
	of mica on fine taffeta silk.	249
Figure 22.3.	Embroidered deer in gold thread with silver passementeries, and fragmented embroidery in	
	gold and mica pieces, and below loose sequins in mica and stone.	250
23. One Silk	Textile — Multiple Histories and Her-Stories — Ulla Mannering and Marie-Louise Nosch	
Figure 23.1.	Photo of King Canute's remains in the shrine and with pillow under the skull.	257
Figure 23.2.	Technical drawings of the Birds-and-Hearts Silk fabric, how it was cut and mounted for the pillow.	258
Figure 23.3.	Technical drawings of the Birds-and-Hearts Silk fabric, and its possible original size.	258 258
Figure 23.4.	The backside of the pillow before restoration in 1934.	259
Figure 23.5.	The sample of the Birds-and-Hearts Silk fabric from the Steenstrup Collection in the National Museum of Denmark. A) front side, B) back side.	259
Figure 23.6.	Technical drawing of the Birds-and-Hearts motif.	259
Figure 23.7.	Colour illustration of the Birds-and-Hearts Silk fabric made by Magnus Petersen in 1886.	260
Figure 23.8.	Painting from the mid-seventeenth century in the church of Santa Maria della Traspontina in Rome, depicting King Canute in a large blue mantle lined with luxurious white ermine fur with hanging black-tipped tails. Danish author Hans Christian Andersen (1842, 187) visited the chapel and recalled his childhood in Odense and his memories of Saint Canute's cathedral.	í
E :	Artist: Daniel Sayter (1647–1705) from 1686.	261
Figure 23.9.	The so-called Dagmar cross unearthed in a tomb in Saint Bendt's Church in Ringsted in 1683 and handed in to the Royal Cabinet of Curiosities in 1695.	262
Figure 23.10.	Official coronation photo of Queen Alexandra 1902.	262
Figure 23.11.	Detail of official coronation photo of Queen Alexandra 1902 wearing the Dagmar cross hanging	203
0	over her waist line.	263
Figure 23.12.	The Birds-and-Hearts design in silk embroidery executed by Nanna Ring. Designmuseum	5
	Danmark.	264
Figure 23.13. Table 23.1.	Modern machine-woven 2023 copy of the Birds-and-Hearts Silk fabric by KazarBazar. Technical details of the Birds-and-Hearts Silk samite taken from published sources and new	265
	measurements on the sample from Steenstrup's Collection.	259

Preface

This book has a dual purpose. On the one hand it is a tribute to a dear colleague, Professor Eva Andersson Strand, from the University of Copenhagen (UCPH) in Denmark, and on the other hand a showcase for the enormous potential of textile research. The initiative for this book was taken in 2022 by the three editors, Professor Marie-Louise Nosch, Research Professor Ulla Mannering and Anne Drewsen MA and independent researcher, and we thank Rosie Bonté, the publishing manager from Brepols for her enthusiasm in publishing the volume.

To help in the initial process and recruitment of contributors, an advisory board was constituted, consisting of: Professor Cécile Michel, CNRS Paris (Assyriology), Professor Marianne Vedeler, University of Oslo (Viking Age and Early Medieval Archaeology), Dr Christina Margariti, Directorate of Conservation, Hellenic Ministry of Culture (Conservation), Dr Chiara Spinazzi-Lucchesi, University of Copenhagen (Near Eastern and Egyptian Studies), Associate Professor Elsa Yvanez, University of Copenhagen (Nubian and Egyptian textiles), social anthropologist and independent researcher Tove Engelhardt Mathiassen, Denmark (Modern Textiles), Dr Magdalena Öhrman, University of Wales, Trinity St David (Classical Philology and Roman Textiles), Associate Professor (retired) Mary Harlow (Ancient Roman Textiles) and Associate Professor Emerita Ulla Lund Hansen (Danish Iron Age Archaeology).

We thank Tilde Yding Abrahamsen, the Student Assistant and archaeology student employed at the National Museum of Denmark, for her careful style guide corrections of all texts, and we extend our gratitude to the following public and private foundations for supporting the book financially:

The SAXO Institute, UCPH

- The National Museum of Denmark
- The COST Action EuroWeb
- Elisabeth Munksgaard Fonden
- University of Glasgow, Arts and Humanities Research Council 634: Unwrapping the Galloway Hoard (AHRC AH/T012218/1)
- TEX-KR, EU Marie Sklodowska-Curie project (101025131)
- University of Uppsala: The Viking Phenomenon Research Project (VR 2015-00466)
- Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation and the University of Peloponnese: FAROS. The Fabric of Kings: Funerary Textile Remains from Mycenae and the Early Mycenaean Textile Production (7354)

ERC Fashioning Sudan (101039416) ERC Beasts to Craft (787282)

Copenhagen, September 2024

Anne Drewsen, Ulla Mannering & Marie-Louise Nosch

© BREPOLS 🕾 PUBLISHERS

12. Spinning Fates and the Fate of Spinning

Towards a Nordic Textile Technical Terminology

▼ **ABSTRACT** In the 1930s, two grandes dames of textile research, Agnes Geijer and Margrethe Hald, engaged in a discourse, both private and public, on the correct terms for spinning directions at a time when little or no consensus existed on the matter either in the industry or in academics. The debate is the first evidence of contact between the two scholars, who would go on to become close colleagues and friends - and co-editors of the common Nordic textile vocabulary Nordisk Textilteknisk Terminologi (NTT) alongside Marta Hoffmann and Elisabeth Strömberg. This article revisits this debate that took place at a seminal point in the development of textile technical terminology based on published and unpublished material. The article will show how the two scholars each argued from positions shaped by their unique backgrounds, how the industry and academic terms evolved simultaneously and affected each other as well as the later NTT publications, and how their positions were ultimately joined together by later vocabularies.

• **KEYWORDS** terminology, Nordisk Tekstilteknisk Terminologi, vocabulary, spinning directions

Textile pioneers Margrethe Hald (1897–1982) and Agnes Geijer (1898–1989) are scholars well-known to textile researchers in the Nordic countries and beyond. They each left their mark on the discipline, and they were the editors of Nordisk Textilteknisk Terminologi (NTT) together with Elisabeth Strömberg and Marta Hoffmann (Strömberg and others 1967; 1974; 1979). This seminal vocabulary of Nordic textile technical terms was the culmination of a thirty-year process of discussion, friendship, and international research, and has since served as inspiration for many later vocabularies of several languages (Burnham 1980). While working on the research project Margrethe Hald: The Life and Work of a Textile Pioneer – New Insights and *Perspectives* at the Centre for Textile Research (CTR) in 2020-2022, we discovered that Hald and Geijer's work on textile terminological matters predated the foundation of Centre International d'Étude des Textiles

Morten Grymer-Hansen • (grymer@hum.ku.dk) MA in history specializing in dress and fashion in eighteenth century Europe. Academic Research Officer at the Centre for Textile Research (CTR), Saxo Institute, University of Copenhagen (UCPH). Susanne Lervad • (sl@kontiki.fr) PhD in specialized communication, specializing in textile terminology. Co-director of the Centre for Textile Research (CTR), Saxo Institute, University of Copenhagen (UPCH).

The Common Thread: Collected Essays in Honour of Eva Andersson Strand, ed. by Ulla Mannering, Marie-Louise Nosch, and Anne Drewsen, NAA, 3 (Turnhout, 2024), pp. 147-156. BREPOLS ⁴ PUBLISHERS

This is an open access chapter made available under a CC BY-NC 4.0 International Licence.

DOI 10.1484/M.NAA-EB.5.141760

m

3

Stockholm den 5 april 1938

Kära Fröken Hald

Förlåt att det dröjt så länge med mitt svar ! Jag har varit så hårt prässad av korrekturläsning den sista tiden att jag icke hunnit något utöver det alldeles oundgängliga. Nu har jag ett litet andrum och skyndar kasta ned dessa rader för att det inte ska dröja en evighet. För det första väven. Det exempel Ni frågar efter såg jag i Berlin hos ingeniör Kurt Hentschel. Det var en hel liten modellvävstol)(som han fått av en "rana" eller "grenne", av exakt samma slag som B. v.Walters från Nordenorge#där den gjorts av en "inföding". torff publicemat av en Jag hade på teoretisk väg kommit till, att de borde kunna göras så, och blev därför glad när jag av en slump fick mitt antagande belagt med faktum. E.v.W. nämner inte om denna mvjlighet men har muntligen förklarat att hon inga invändningar har däremot Sätt Eder i förbindelse med den mycket sympatiske Hentschel, textilingeniör, mycket bevandrad i skandinaviska textilkonst adr. Wildpark-West, Potsdam 4, Berlin, svensk-norsktalande Beträffande snoningsriktningen måste jag säga att hdert inlägg för mig inte innebär något nytt. Jag tror nog att man kan uppmobilisera många företrädare för den ut min synvinkel "bakvända () wöhfattningen. Ofrånkomligt är dock att det är en retsam förvirring som blivit så vansklig just därför att vederbörande inte har vetat att de varit tvetydiga utan ansett som självklart att deras uppfattning är den enda möjliga. Så Hougen vis-å-vis Dedekam och så även Rosenberg vis a vis v.Waltertorff. (det är industrien kontra hemslöjden men vill ej påstå det bestämt. Emellertid är även industrien inkonsekvent: en tråd varsvridning för ögat är densamma kallas olika om den är gjord av olika material. Dessutom lär engelmännen ha speciell beteckning. De skandinaviska

Figure 12.1. First page of Agnes Geijer's letter to Margrethe Hald, 1938 in the National Museum of Denmark (see bibliography: Unpublished Sources). (Photo by authors.)

d. v. s. tå ald omdöme blir ontaut tähert. prustlies då an är vou vid beleckung a furgerah.

författare som man måste beteckna som de främsta textila auk toriteterna på vårt område, Dedekam, Sylwan(Svenska ryor) och (still bilouk) v. Waltertorff har haft en enhetlig uppfattning, för vilken min uppsats i Svenska museer ger uttryck, men börjar våracgrac man nu ätt vrida åt andra hållet hamnar man lätt i kaos om man inte väljer någon helt ny beteckning. Jag vill inte påstå att den nya tyska är idealisk)-- den är faktakt svår att lära sig ,* (-- men nog är den otvetydig) Det är därför jag inte vågat äventyra exaktheten med att införa den i min bok som kommer ut om några dagar utan lämnat en bild med deklaration, i likhet med vad Ni gjort. Då kan det inte bli misstag i den boken,men det är onekligen lite tungrott i små uppsatser att alltid behöva hänvisa till ett arbete med bild i. Allt det änär är icke skrivet för att omvända Er, det tycker jag vore katastrofalt, Er riktning är nu ordentligt fastslagen. Lika litet som det min anmärkning & denna frågatvar riktad mot Er utan mot den i detta avseende intet (ont) anande men f.ö. innerligt förträfflige Hougen. Farväl för denna gång och på återseende

Er tillgivna

ulle autor altrych ar t an harholow Hoeky Main , arre In all De. Anectorica Vourier to tr

Figure 12.2. Second page of Agnes Geijer's letter to Margrethe Hald, 1938 in the National Museum of Denmark (see bibliography: Unpublished Sources). (Photo by authors.)

Anciens (CIETA) by almost two decades: their work influences our vocabulary to this day.¹

Anne Kjellberg (2015) has previously written about the correspondence between Marta Hoffmann and Agnes Geijer in relation to their work on the major *NTT* revisions in 1974 and 1979. At that point, Elisabeth Strömberg had passed away and Margrethe Hald withdrew from most of the editorial work as she was otherwise engaged, though she remained an informant on the Danish terms as well as a sparring partner for the two remaining original editors: Hoffmann and Geijer. In this light, it is unsurprising that hardly any correspondence regarding *NTT* was found in the Danish National Archives or the National Museum of Denmark during the Margrethe Hald project 2020–2022. There was, however, much correspondence between the three editors on other matters, professional as well as personal (Lervad and Grymer-Hansen 2022).

The discourse on the terms for spinning directions is covered in a number of writings by Hald and Geijer in the second half of the 1930s. In 1935, Margrethe Hald and curator H. C. Broholm published the monumental work 'Danske Bronzealders Dragter' on Bronze Age dress (Broholm and Hald 1935; trans. 1940). In 1936, Geijer published a brief overview of Swedish textile terms. In 1937, Broholm and Hald (1935) was reviewed and discussed by Agnes Geijer (1937) in Fornvännen alongside two other books on the same subject by Björn Hougen (1935) and Karl Schlabow (1937). The following year, Hald (1938) published a response and Geijer (1938b) published her large work on the textile finds from Birka. Finally, Broholm and Hald (1939) published their book on the textiles from the Bronze Age grave at Skrydstrup. Parallel to this public discourse on the subject, Hald and Geijer also corresponded privately on the matter as evidenced by a letter from Geijer to Hald now in the archive of the National Museum of Denmark's Department of Danish Prehistory, dated Stockholm 5 April 1938. It is evidently a response to a previous letter from Hald to Geijer probably written around the time Hald published her response to Geijer's review as this publication is referred to in the letter. Hald's side of the correspondence is missing, and nothing further has been discovered in the Danish National Archives. Geijer (1938a) begins her letter with an apology for having taken so long, as she had been busy editing:

Nu har jeg ett litet andrum och skynder kasta ned dessa rader för att det inte ska dröja en evighet.

(Now I have some breathing room and hurry to write down these lines, so that it will not take an eternity.)

The letter consists of two pages, which are heavily annotated by both Hald and Geijer, and the latter has added a long *post scriptum* as well as explanatory notes and several corrections, emphasizing that the letter has been somewhat 'thrown together' in between other obligations (see Figs 12.1 and 12.2). That discussion, when taken as a whole, illustrates the importance of establishing a term not just for spinning directions but the importance of terminology within the textile field.

Spinning and Spinning Directions

It will be necessary to present some terms and their meanings regarding spinning. The process of spinning is probably known to most while the technicalities of the production technique may be less known. In brief, spinning is an umbrella term for the processes through which yarn is produced from textile fibres. In the modern textile industry, there may not even be fibres but rather a liquid mass, which is made into yarn. A yarn is generally understood to be spun in one of two ways, so-called S and Z-spun. These terms are, as we will show, fairly new and stem from the textile industry rather than preindustrial textile production but they have become the predominant terms used within academia, crafts, and the industry.

In the 1940s and 1950s, a Danish institution by the name of 'Terminologicentralen' began publishing a number of 'messages' regarding standardization of terms within a number of industries. In the 1950s their Committee for Textile Terminology began sending out and revising suggestions for Danish terminology within the textile industry (Sonne and others 2022).² These messages represent the consensus within the Danish industry when CIETA was founded and prior to the publication of *NTT* and is the earliest example of a comprehensive textile technical terminology in Denmark. In these messages, Terminologicentralen (1954) defines S and Z-spun thread or yarn in the following manner:

Garn er S-spundet, når skruelinien for fibrene ses som skråstregen i bogstavet S

(Yarn is S-spun, when the helix of the fibres is seen as the diagonal line in the letter S)

Margrethe Hald: The Life and Work of a Textile Pioneer – New Insights and Perspectives was conducted by Eva Andersson Strand as PI with Ulrikka Mokdad and Morten Grymer-Hansen as collaborators alongside an advisory board consisting of Ulla Lund Hansen, Susanne Lervad, Ulla Mannering, and Anne Drewsen.

² These messages have been digitized by the authors in collaboration with historian Mathilde Sonne and form the basis of the online textile glossary available at traditionaltextilecraft. com (Sonne and others 2022).

Garn er Z-spundet, når skruelinien for fibrene ses som skråstregen i bogstavet Z

(Yarn is Z-spun when the helix of the fibres is seen as the diagonal line in the letter Z)

These terms did not exist prior to the twentieth century and there seems to have been little to no consensus regarding the terms used in their stead. In Scandinavia at least, the terms right-spun (S-spun) and left-spun (Z-spun) seem to have been common as signifying spinning directions. S and Z-spun are, however, terms for the finished product, the yarn, while right and left-spun were terms for both the product and the process through which the yarn is made. It is this change in terms, as well as how and why it occurred, that we hope to shed some light on through the lens of two of the greatest Nordic textile researchers of the twentieth century.

How to Represent the Concept of Sand Z-Spun Threads in the *NTT*/CIETA Vocabularies

Whenever the fibre is transformed to thread through spinning, you have two ways to spin the threads — two directions to spin: clockwise and anticlockwise. In the *NTT* vocabularies (Strömberg and others 1967, 60), the terms S-spunnet and S-tvinnat in the same entry are defined as:

Om garn spunnet eller tvinnat medsols. De snedlinjer snodden framkallar ha, om garnet hålles vertikalt, samma rikning som stapeln i bokstaven S. Jvf. Z-spunnet, Z-tvinnat

(Regarding yarn spun or twisted clockwise. When the yarn is held vertically the twist produces lines in the same direction as the diagonal lines in the letter S. See Z-spun, Z-twist.)

The parallel terms in other languages than Swedish are:DanishS-spundet, S-tvundetNon-mainingS-spundet, S-transmit

innet, S-tvunnet
ın, S twist
lreht, S-gedreh

It is thus only the German term that reflects the characteristics of the concept's way of turning —('dreje' in Danish) and this term 'venstre-drejet', is often used in the Danish context — because the textile industry has many textile engineers trained in Germany and the contact between the two countries and the specialized language of textiles is important. In the (Strömberg and others) 1974 and 1979 editions of *NTT* these definitions are maintained and the add-ons are only the terms in Finnish and the Icelandic terms: Finnish S-kierteinen Icelandic s-spunnid, s-tvinnad, s-brinnad

Margrethe Hald, who was in charge of the NTT 1967 edition with Agnes Geijer, argued for the retention of the terms right and left-spun in the Danish textile vocabulary and the correspondence betwen Geijer/ Hald showed this vivid discussion over many years (see the next section of this chapter). The other term: 'Z-spunnet, Z-tvinnat' in NTT 1974 has almost the same definition in the NTT 1967 version: 'Om garn spunnet eller tvinnat motsols. Hålles garnet vertikalt, ha de nedlenjer som framkallats av snodden samma rikning som stapeln i bogstaven Z. Jfr S-spunnet, S-tvinnat' (Regarding yarn spun or twun anticlockwise. When held vertically the diagonal lines created by the twist is in the same direction as the line in the letter Z. see S-spun, S-twun) (Strömberg and others 1967, 75). It is often shown with drawings (Burnham 1980) as a continued tradition of the CIETA vocabularies. Here the definition in English is as follows: 'When the twist of a thread around its axis follows the direction of the central bar of the letter S, the thread is said to be S-twist, S-spun, pr S-ply. cf. Z-twist, twist, twistless' (Burnham 1980, 75). And the multilingual and parallel terms according to Burnham (1980, 136) are: S trutiet.

S-twist:	
French	torsion S
German	S-gedreht, S-Drehung
Portuguese	torcao S
Swedish	S-spunnet, S-tvinnat

Z-twist is likewise a look-up term and the definition is here: 'When the twist of a thread around its axis follows the direction of the central bar of the letter Z, the thread is said to be Z-twisted, Z-spun, or Z-ply. c. S-TWIST, TWIST, TWISTLESS' (Burnham 1980, 192)

The multilingual vocabularies (see CIETA.FR/ vocabularies) open up more transparency in the terminology and help the understanding of the concepts by cross-references, parallel terms, and definitions in the different languages (Swedish, English, German, Portuguese, Spanish and Italian).

A Discourse on Spinning Directions

In her review of Broholm and Hald (1935), Geijer (1937) wrote very favourably of the work, but in the very last paragraph she discussed the terms for spinning directions used by Broholm and Hald, Hougen (1935),

© BREPOLS ֎ PUBLISHER

and Schlabow (1937). Geijer (1937, 253) noticed that Broholm and Hald as well as the Norwegian Hougen used the terms right-spun (högerspinning) and leftspun (vänsterspinning) and commented: 'I båda dessa publikationer användes en mot anmälarens och svensk terminology f. ö. Motsatt beteckning; i den danska gör detta mindre, eftersom det i en schematisk bild klart säges ifrån vad man menar' (Both of these publications use a term in opposition to the reviewer and Swedish terminology as a whole; this is less of a problem for the Danish, as a schematic picture clearly illustrates what is meant.)³ Instead, Geijer preferred the terms S-spun and Z-spun, which, according to her, were clearer terms already in use in German literature. Geijer (1937, 253) also noted that Hougen (1935, 82, n. 2) and Hans Dedekam used the terms right-spun and left-spun differently at different times, as the terms were sometimes used with the opposite meaning. She used this to illustrate the lack of consensus not just between the Nordic languages but also within the individual languages, in this case Norwegian. Furthermore, Geijer (1936) referred to an overview of Swedish textile terminology, she had published the previous year. This publication, however, uses the main terms right and left-spun, and the S and Z-spun terms are only briefly mentioned as new, clearer alternative terms. It therefore seems unreasonable to suggest, as Geijer (1937, 253) does, that any true preference or consensus for the 'German' terms existed in Swedish scholarship at the time. Especially since Geijer (1938b) continued to use the terms right and left-spun in her publication on the textile finds from Birka, when it was published the following year. In this publication, Geijer (1938b, 13, n. 3) explained: 'In der letzten Zeit wurde eine Bezeichnung eingeführt, die von der Übereinstimmung des fertiggedrehten Fadens mit den Diagonallinien der Buchstaben S und Z abgeleitet wird ... Ich bedaure, dass ich dieses praktische Bezeichnungssystem nicht früher kannte.' (Lately a new term has been introduced, which is derived from the similarity between the finished thread and the diagonal lines of the letters S and Z ... I regret that I did not know this practical designation system previously.)

Despite the otherwise positive review, Hald (1938) found it necessary to write a response to Geijer regarding the terms for spinning directions and thus engaged in her first public debate. Perhaps empowered by her recent study trip to Berlin in the late summer of 1937, Hald (1938, 278) rejected the notion that the terms S-spun and Z-spun were generally accepted in German literature, referencing a recent work by Emil Vogt (1937).⁴ She argued that no consensus existed in Swedish or elsewhere in the Scandinavian languages. Instead, Hald (1938, 276) declared the necessity for clear definitions in every dissertation:

Hverken i den arkæologiske eller i den tekniske Litteratur er Betegnelserne for Garn og Spinderetning standardiseret; og naar der ikke i hver Afhandling udførligt forklares, hvad Meningen er med det Udtryk, Forfatteren har Valgt, kan der opstaa Misforstaaelser.

(There are no standardized terms for yarn or spinning directions either in the archaeological or in the technical literature; and there may be misunderstandings when the meaning of the term chosen by the author is not stated clearly in every dissertation.)

An argument used by both Geijer and Hald was to reference the terms used by the textile industry. It is evident that they both tried to strengthen the argument for their preferred terms in this way, but in the end, they actually showed the utter lack of consensus on the subject, as they were both able to supply examples. This is also remarkable, as they were not describing modern practices but preindustrial textile production (which later became the subtitle for *NTT* as well). Geijer's solution to the lack of consensus was simply prescriptive: to choose the least unambiguous term (S and Z-spun, according to Geijer) as the standard, while Hald argued for a plurality of terms with a clear definition supported by nonverbal elements. In her letter to Hald, Geijer (1938a) expressed sympathy for Hald's position but also the problems it entailed:

Jag vill inte påstå att den nya tyska är idealisk — den är faktiskt svår att lära sig — men nog är den otvetydig. Det är därför jag inte vågat äventyra exaktheten med att införa den i min bok som kommer ut om några dagar utan lämnat en bild med deklaration, i likhet med vad Ni gjort. Då kan det inte bli misstag i den boken, men det är onek[t]ligen lite tungrott i små uppsatser att alltid behöva hänvisa till ett arbete med bild i.

(I will not claim that the new German is ideal — it is actually difficult to learn — but at least it is unambiguous. It is for this reason I have chosen not to risk the precision by implementing it in my

³ Schlabow (1937) does not mention spinning directions at all in his book, so whether or not he agreed with Geijer is unclear.

⁴ Regarding Hald's stay in Berlin, see Fluck and Grymer-Hansen 2023; Mokdad and Grymer-Hansen 2022.

book^s which will be out in a couple of days but left an illustration with a definition, similar to what you did. Then there can be no misunderstandings in that book but it is undeniably a little difficult in small publications to always have to refer to a publication with an illustration.)

Geijer (1938a) echoes this statement in her post scriptum:

intet uttryck är 'rett', det enda som betyder något är klarheten, otvetydigheten.

(no expression is 'right', the only thing that matters is the clarity, the unambiguousness.)

Here, perhaps, we get a glimpse of Geijer's perception of the purpose for the terminology — to be clear, exact, and unambiguous. It mattered less whether the term was logical or easy to grasp. It is, however, interesting that Geijer, despite arguing for the use of S and Z-spun, choose not to use them in her publication of the textile finds from Birka (Geijer 1938b) and in her letter even calling the terms 'difficult'. The difficulty probably referring to the process of unlearning the 'old' terms and the shift in conceptualization, as mentioned, from process to product.

In her letter, Geijer refers Hald to a German textile engineer by the name of Kurt Hentschel, who was chief engineer at the German Textile Standardization Committee under the German Institute for Standardization Registered Association (DIN). DIN was founded in 1917 and in 1925, Germany had become the first country in the world to develop common norms for the textile industry (Textil-Normung 13 April 1938, 747-48). The same institution made S and Z-spinning the standard terms for the industry in 1936, which seems to have instigated Geijer's interest in the terms.⁶ Hentschel published Wolle spinnen mit Herz und Hand in 1949 (Hentschel 1975), where he mentions that right and left-spun were previously used in German as well but have been replaced by S and Z-spun. He had close ties to the Scandinavian countries, especially Sweden, where he had previously worked together with the textile researcher Vivi Sylwan (1935), but his direct involvement in establishing S and Z-spun as the primary terms is yet to be proven.

No consensus was reached by Geijer and Hald in the 1930s but changes certainly began happening around them. In her next publication, Hald continued to use the terms right and left-spun but with a caveat in a footnote that she had been notified by a Danish textile factory that the international cotton committee had elected to use the terms S and Z-spun at their conference in Paris in 1937 (Broholm and Hald 1939, 7).⁷ It is evident that Hald's preferred terms lost ground in an industry context throughout the 1930s and 1940s. Hald still used the designations in her doctoral thesis in 1950; however by the time of its translation in 1980 she had capitulated completely and used the terms S and Z-spun (Hald 1950; 1980). Within a generation the new industry terms had thus outperformed the historical terms used by craftspeople for centuries.

CIETA and NTT

In 1954, a group of likeminded textile scholars founded the Centre International d'Etude des Textiles Anciens (CIETA) at the Musée Historique des Tissus (MHT), and the founding members came from Austria (Dora Heinz), France (Yolande Amic, Jacques Dupont, Félix Guicherd, Robert de Micheaux, Marie-Thérèse and Charles Picard, Monique Toury, Pierre Verlet), Germany (Ernst Kühnel, Sigrid Müller-Christensen, Wolfgang Fritz Volbach), Italy (Gian Piero Bognetti, Tito Broggi), Spain (Felipa Nino y Mas), Switzerland (Alfred Bühler, Verena Trudel), Sweden (Agnes Geijer) and the United States (Claire and René Batigne, Calvin Hathaway, Margaret I. J. Rowe, Edith A. Standen). They had all lived through the Second World War, and between some of their countries, enmity and distrust had prevailed for centuries. But they decided to make a fresh start for their generation, overcome old prejudices, and contribute to a better understanding in their field. Their goal was to establish a precisely defined language in which to describe the technical elements and structures of woven textiles, and to set up a documentation of historical textiles which should be described according to a detailed list of questions. This documentation, a collection of 'dossiers de récensement' for individual textiles, is preserved in the Musée des Tissus in Lyon where researchers can consult it.

Developing vocabularies of textile terms with their definitions and their equivalents in different languages took years. The first French and Italian vocabularies were published in 1959, the Spanish followed in 1963, the English in 1964, the Scandinavian in 1967, the German in 1971 and the Portuguese in 1976. Russian and Japanese vocabularies were established even later. But more and more researchers learnt to analyse woven textiles

© BREPOLS 🕾 PUBLISHER

⁵ Geijer was preparing Birka III for publication at the time (Geijer 1938b).

⁶ The reference is given as 'Deutsche Normen, Mai 1936' (Geijer 1938b) but we have been unable to locate the original document so far.

⁷ See Day (1938) for a summary of the decisions made at the conference.

according to the methods developed in Lyon. From 1956 onward Technical Courses were held regularly in Lyon and introduced generations of scholars to the tools, structures, and processes of silk weaving. To this day, these courses are at the core of CIETA's activities.

Agnes Geijer was the only Nordic representative among the founding members.8 Geijer quickly engaged Elisabeth Strömberg from the Röhsska museet in Gothenburg to write a draft for a Swedish vocabulary of textile technical terms and sent it to scholars in the Scandinavian countries for them to add definitions and parallel terms in their own languages. In 1954, Geijer and Strömberg summoned Scandinavian Scholars who worked with textiles and linguistics to a meeting regarding a collaboration in writing a common terminology for the Nordic languages. The representatives from Denmark were Margrethe Hald, by then PhD in Archaeology and curator at the National Museum of Denmark, and Poul Andersen, a linguist and Professor of Dialectology at the University of Copenhagen. As shown in this article and in Lervad and Grymer-Hansen (2022), Agnes Geijer had been working on creating a consensus on the terminology in Swedish and the Scandinavian languages for c. 20 years before she called the meeting — and Margrethe Hald had been a part of the discussion since at least 1938.

The English vocabulary was continued by Dorothy Burnham (1980) after her husband's passing. With regards to the ambition of CIETA, Burnham (1980, ix) wrote: 'Many members of CIETA are accomplished linguists and as the headquarters of CIETA was in Lyon, one of the great silk-weaving centres of the world, vocabularies quite naturally concentrated on terms for the description of silk weaving'. Illustrations of the concepts and multilingual terms had not yet been included but this was an addition first found in Burnham (1980): this important feature was included in the following CIETA vocabularies by illustrating the vocabulary with diagrams and photos of the tools, weaves, and fabrics, which has since become the standard. It is evident, however, that Burnham regarded her work a continuation of NTT as much as a development on the English vocabulary, as she continues:

A significant development in this experiment of international cooperation came in 1974 when a team of Scandinavian members gave the version of their vocabulary commercial publication and thus made it available to everyone rather than to the limited CIETA membership. This publication, *Nordisk Textilteknisk* *Terminologi* (Oslo: Johan Grundt Tanum Forlag, 1974) covers words needed in the study of textiles in Scandinavian countries, specialized weaves, and terms used to process linen and wool, as well as the definitions in the earlier CIETA vocabularies. *Warp and Weft – A Textile Terminology* follows in the spirit of the Scandinavian publication.

Despite the confusion of Scandinavian and Nordic vocabulary, in the quoted passage, the introduction of the long-lived wool and linen tradition in the Nordic countries, as well as the addition of the Nordic languages Swedish and Finnish, are significant aspects of the 1974 edition.

In Sweden the publications of *NTT* in 1974 and 1979 formed the basis for the work of Tekniska Terminologicetralen and SIS Textilordlista – Glossary of Textiles, TNC 76/SS 251015 from 1981 with Swedish definitions and terms in Swedish, Finnish, Danish, Norwegian, English, German, and French. Spinning is here defined as:

förfarande att bilda garn genom att stapelfibrer ordnas till en fibersträng som ges erfordelig sammanhållning, vanligen med hjälp av snodd. (Tilverkningstekniskt avses med benämningen spinning vanligen endast slutstadiet i garnframställningsprocessen).

(The process of forming yarn by arranging staple fibers into a fiber strand which is given the necessary cohesion, usually by means of twisting. (In manufacturing terms, the term spinning usually only refers to the final stage in the yarn production process))

The definition is supported by the multilingual entry in Textilordlista (Svenska Textilforskningsinstitut and others 1981, 156):

E	spinning
F	filature f
D	Spinnen n
DK	spinding
No	spinning

Fi kehruu

You can find this Textilordlista included in *Rikstermbanken* today with other glossaries from other subject fields and you can thus make cross-disciplinary searches for instance on fibres (Insitutet för språk och folkminnen 2022).

The Spinning Fates and the Fate of Spinning: Why Is This Important?

Legend teaches us that there is a magic to women, divinity to threes, and destiny to textiles. As the

© BREPOLS S PUBLISHERS

⁸ Sigrid Müller (1904–1994), née Christensen, another founding member, was Danish by birth but lived and worked in Germany following her marriage in 1934.

Moirai spun the thread of fate for the Greeks, so did the Parcae for the Romans, and the Norns for the Vikings. In the twentieth century three women began spinning the thread of Nordic textile research, Margrethe Hald, Agnes Geijer, and Marta Hoffmann, and in the new millennium Eva Andersson Strand, Ulla Mannering, and Marie-Louise Bech Nosch picked up the thread as the Norns of our day, when they established the Centre for Textile Research in 2005. How oddly fitting that Hald and Geijer got to know each other through a discussion on spinning — and they could not have imagined where their work would take us.

The globalization of the world in the post-war period highlighted the necessity of common vocabularies for cooperation across countries for industry and academia alike. In Denmark, Terminologicentralen published the first attempts at a common terminology for the textile industry in the 1950s, and in 1954, CIETA was established in Lyon as a worldwide organization and began work on establishing common terminologies for historical textile terms with parallel terms in numerous languages. One of the first terminologies published was *NTT* in 1967 with Hald, Geijer, and Hoffmann as editors. This became the blueprint for the following terminologies and was, as this article has shown, a culmination of almost thirty years of collaboration and discussion between Nordic textile researchers.

Acknowledgements

Eva Andersson Strand has through her scholarship and innovative spirit continued this legacy in her own way while reinventing it for the twenty-first century. She has provided modern textile research with a heightened focus on not just textile craft but also of the craftspeople of the past by combining classic methods from humanities with new technologies such as using motion capture caps to measure the brain activity of spinners and weavers. We are grateful to have been part of the team at CTR under Eva's leadership and we are eager to follow her next steps, as the spindle keeps turning.

Works Cited

Broholm, Hans Christian, and Margrethe Hald. 1935. 'Danske Bronzealders Dragter', Nordiske Fortidsminder, 2: 5-6

- -----. 1939. Skrydstrupfundet: En sønderjydsk Kvindegrav fra den ældre Bronzealder, Nordiske Fortidsminder, 111.2 (Copenhagen: Nordisk)
- -----. 1940. Costumes of the Bronze Age in Denmark (Copenhagen: Nyt Nordisk)

Burnham, Dorothy K. 1980. Warp & Weft: A Dictionary of Textile Terms (Ontario: Royal Ontario Museum)

Day, Emily L. 1938. '266. International Cotton Committee', *Cotton Literature: Selected References*, vol. 8, no. 1 (Washington, DC: Bureau of Agricultural Economics), pp. 45–46

Fluck, Cäcilia, and Morten Grymer-Hansen. 2023. 'Margrethe Hald: A Danish Pioneer of Textile Research and Egyptian Textiles from the National Museum of Denmark', in Archaeological Puzzles in a Museum: Egyptian Fabrics from the 1st Millennium AD at the National Museum of Denmark, ed. by Maria Mossakowska-Gaubert (Copenhagen: Campus Print – University of Copenhagen), pp. 174–89

Geijer, Agnes. 1936. 'Textila tekniker och termer: en sammanställning', Svenska Museer, 1936.1: 14–19

——. 1937. 'H. C. Broholm och Märgarethe Hald: Danske Bronzealders Dragter. Nordiske Fortidsminder, bd II, h. 5–6, Köpenhamn 1935. BJÖRN HOUGEN: Snartemofunnene, Studier i folkevandringstidens ornamentikk og tekstilhistorie. Norske Oldfunn VII, Oslo 1935. KARL SCHLABOW. Germanische Tuchmacher der Bronzezeit. Archäologisches Institut des Deutschen Reiches. Neumünster in H., 1937', Fornvännen, 32: 243–53

-----. 5 April 1938a. *Letter to Margrethe Hald*, in Margrethe Hald Archive, Box 110 (II–III), Department of Danish Prehistory, the National Museum of Denmark

- Hald, Margrethe. 1938. 'Bidrag til Betegnelsen for Spinding af Oldtidsgarn', *Aarbøger for Nordisk Oldkyndighed og Historie*, 1937: 276–80
- ——. 1950. Olddanske Tekstiler: Komparative tekstil- og dragthistoriske Studier paa Grundlag af Mosefund og Gravfund fra Jernalderen (Copenhagen: Gyldendal)

Hentschel, Kurt. 1975 (1949). Wolle spinnen mit Herz und Hand (Winterbach-Manolzwiler: Webe Mit)

Hougen, Björn. 1935. Snartemofunnene, Studier i folkevandringstidens ornamentikk og tekstilhistorie, Norske Oldfunn (Oslo: Universitetets Oldsakssamling)

Insitutet för språk och folkminnen. 2022. *Rikstermbanken* <www.rikstermbanken.se/>[accessed 4 March 2024]]

Kjellberg, Anne. 2015. "Ett idealiskt samarbete": Agnes Geijer, Marta Hoffmann og Nordisk Textilteknisk Terminologi, in Konstnärlig Kultur: Agnes Geijer och textilforskningen, ed. by Margareta Nockert (Uppsala: Upplandsmuseet), pp. 131–54

Lervad, Susanne, and Morten Grymer-Hansen. 2022. 'Conceptual Work and Information Management of Textile Technical Terminology by Margrethe Hald et al.', in *Begreppsarbete och informationshantering (NORDTERM 22)* (Helsingfors: Terminologicentralen), pp. 129–37

- Mokdad, Ulrikka, and Morten Grymer-Hansen. 2021 'Margrethe Hald: The Quest for the Tubular Loom', Archaeological *Textiles Review*, 63: 101–12
- Schlabow, Karl. 1937. Germanische Tuchmacher der Bronzezeit. Archäologisches Institut des Deutschen Reiches (Neumünster, Holstein: Karl Wachholtz)
- Sonne, Mathilde, Morten Grymer-Hansen, and Susanne Lervad. 13 December 2022. 'Textile Glossary', *European Association for Terminology Newsletter*, 141 https://www.eaft-aet.net/en/actualitat/noticies/textile-glossary [accessed 4 March 2024]
- Strömberg, Elisabeth, Agnes Geijer, Margrethe Hald, and Marta Hoffmann. 1967. *Nordisk textilteknisk terminology* (Lyon: CIETA)

———. 1974. *Nordisk textilteknisk terminology* (Oslo: Johan Grundt Tanum)

-----. 1979. Nordisk textilteknisk terminology (Oslo: Johan Grundt Tanum)

Svenska Textilforskningsinstitut, Tekniska Nomenklaturcentralen, and Standardiseringskommissionen i Sverige. 1981. *Textilordlista* (Stockholm: Tekniska Nomenklaturcentralen)

Sylwan, Vivi. 1935. 'Eine chinesische Seide mit spätgriechischem Muster aus dem 5. Bis 6. Jahrhundert. Mit webtechnischer Untersuching von Kurt Hentschel', Ostasiatische Zeitschrift, Neue Folge XI: 22–27

Terminologicentralen. 1954. 'Forslag til terminologi vedrørende spinding', Tidsskrift for Textilteknik, 12: 232–33

Textil-Normung. 13 April 1938. 'Textil-Normung', Polski przemysł włókienniczy: Zeitschrift für die gesamte Polnische und Baltische Textilindustrie, 220: 747–48

Vogt, Emil. 1937. *Geflechte und Gewebe der Steinzeit,* Monographien zur Ur- und Frühgeschichte der Schweiz, 1 (Basel: E. Birkhäuser & cie)

© BREPOLS ⊕ PUBLISHERS